

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/893,709		06/29/2001	Lambert Danner	016790-0430	5834	
22428	7590	12/10/2003		EXAMINER		
FOLEY AND LARDNER SUGARMAN					N, SCOTT J	
SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHING				2873		
				DATE MAILED: 12/10/200	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			9
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/893,709	DANNER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	-
	Scott J. Sugarman	2873	
The MAILING DATE of this communica Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communi  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statut  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, rication. 14ys, a reply within the statutory minimum ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6), by statute, cause the application to become.	may a reply be timely filed  of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	eation.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>06 August 2003</u> .		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	☑ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition fo closed in accordance with the practice	r allowance except for formal under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935	matters, prosecution as to the ment 5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	s is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the appl 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the I  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 29 June 2001 is  Applicant may not request that any objection  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the second of the	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ on to the drawing(s) be held in a ne correction is required if the dra	beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). awing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	en Canada an an ain aite an an an an an an an a	0.0 (440(a) (d) an (6	
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internationa * See the attached detailed Office action 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for since a specific reference was included 37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for reference was included in the first senter.	ocuments have been received becaments have been received the priority documents have all Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) for a list of the certified copie domestic priority under 35 U in the first sentence of the spuage provisional application I domestic priority under 35 U	d. d in Application No been received in this National Stage . s not receivedS.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional appli ecification or in an Application Data has been receivedS.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a spe	cation) Sheet. cific
Attachment(s)	_		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pap	D-948) 5) Noti	rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:	

### **DETAILED ACTION**

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after allowance or after an Office action under *Ex Parte Quayle*, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213 (Comm'r Pat. 1935). Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on August 6, 2003 has been entered.

Pursuant to applicant's request filed on August 6, 2003 action by the Office was suspended on this application under 37 CFR 1.103(a) for a period of 3 months.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Zapien et al. (of record, IDS of 8-6-03). Zapien et al. teaches a spectral ellipsometer having a refractive illuminating optical system for an illuminating ray bundle, coming

Art Unit: 2873

from an illumination unit, for generating a measurement spot on a surface of a specimen (see Fig. 1 and explanation); and a detector unit that receives and detects, as a measured ray bundle, the light reflected from the surface at the location of the measurement spot, where the illuminating optical system is color-corrected (page 17, col. 1, lines 7-8) and where a receiving optical system that is color-corrected (page 17, col. 1, lines 13-15) is provided for the measured ray bundle.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-4 and 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zapien et al in view of Hecht (of record, IDS of 8-6-03). Zapien et al is cited for reasons set forth above against claims 1 and 5, but Zapien et al does not teach a specific construction of a color corrected, achromatic doublet or triplet. Hecht teaches on pages 232-238, the different types of achromatic optical systems (doublets and triplets) as well as the recited materials of making them. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to make the color corrected optical system of Zapien et al in the specific manner taught by Hecht, since this is the, literally, textbook manner of providing color correction in an optical system that requires it.

Art Unit: 2873

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott J. Sugarman whose telephone number is (703)308-4821.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)872-9318.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

Scott J. Sugarman Primary Examiner Art Unit 2873

sjs December 2, 2003